

Discrete Stochastic Simulation

Pedro Barahona DI/FCT/UNL Métodos Computacionais 1st Semestre 2018/2019

- We may now address a slightly more complicated queueing system where in addition to one server, there is a buffer of size 1 where requests can be maintained while the server is busy. We keep the same arrival distributions but adopt a different serving time, as follows:
	- One single server
	- Service time following an *Erlang distribution (2, 1.5);*
	- *One buffer:*
		- *if a request arrives when both the server and the buffer are empty, the request enters the server.*
		- *if a request arrives when the server is full but the buffer is empty, the request stays in the buffer, until the server is free.*
		- *if a request arrives when the buffer is full the request is rejected.*
	- Requests arrive with an exponential distribution with mean time of 3 minutes.
- Again, simulation (for a sufficient large time) may be used to estimate the behaviour of this system.

- We will be interested in obtaining the likely behaviour of the system, namely
	- What is the percentage of time the server is busy.
	- What is the percentage of requests that are rejected;
	- *What is the average waiting time of a request in the queue.*
- Now the state, **s**, of the system should indicate not only whether a request is being served, and at what time it arrived, but also whether a request is in the queue, and and at what time it arrived. Hence, **s** may be encoded as a structure with three fields:
	- **s.latest_system_time (lst)**: the time elapsed since the beginning of the simulation;
	- **s.entry server time (est):** a number specifying whether the server is busy. If the server is busy it should represent the time the request has been accepted. Otherwise, the value is encoded as +inf.
	- **s.entry buffer time (ebt):** a number specifying whether a request is in the the queue, represent the time the request was been accepted (Otherwise , the value is encoded as +inf).

- The event, **e**, should still indicate the timing of the next arrival of a request, as well as the timing of the next completion of a served request:
	- **e.next_arrival_time (nat)**: the timing of the next arrival of a request;
	- **e.next_exit_time (net)**: the timing of the next exit from the server.
	- If the server is empty, *and the buffer is also empty*, the **next_exit_time** should be encoded as **+inf.**
	- *However, if the server becomes empty, but the buffer is not empty, the request from the buffer is moved to the sderver a new next_exit_time should be computed.*
- To monitor the system a new variable should maintain the timing when the buffer has been busy (to compute the mean waiting time), and **m** may be encoded as a structure with 4 fields:
	- **m.server_busy_time (sbt)**: the time the server has been busy so far;
	- **m.buffer wait time (qwt)**: the time requests have been waiting in the queue;
	- **m.number_accepted_requests (nar)**: Number of requests accepted so far;
	- **m.number_rejected_requests (nrr)**: Number of requests rejected so far;

Random Variables; (Monte Carlo) Simulation 4

- Given the above assumptions the initial state should be encoded as
	- **s.latest_system_time = 0;**
	- **s.entry_server_time: = inf.**
	- **s.buffer_server_time: = inf.**
- The initial events should be as before
	- **e.next_arrival_time = x;**
	- **e.next_exit_time = inf;**

where x is obtained from the exponential distribution

- The initial monitoring data should be
	- **m.server_busy_time = 0;**
	- **m.buffer_busy_time = 0;**
	- **m.number_accepted_requests = 0**
	- **m.number_rejected_requests = 0.**

- The stopping condition could be specified as before, namely by allowing the simulation of the system to last until some final_time. i.e. until
	- **s.latest_system_time > final_time**
- Finally, the state transitions can be caused by the arrival of requests or exit from servers, and can be described in the following transition table

• But before encoding this example, let us analyse the serving times that follow an Erlang(k,m) distribution (with $k = 2$, m = 1.5).

event current state next state next event monitor

• The Erlang distribution is the distribution of the sum of *k independent and identically distributed random variables*, each having an *exponential distribution with mean m*.

• Source: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Erlang_distribution

Random Variables; (Monte Carlo) Simulation 7

- The Erlang distribution is the distribution of the sum of *k independent and identically distributed random variables*, each having an *exponential distribution with mean m*.
- Its pdf (probability density function) is the following:

$$
f(x; k, m) = \frac{x^{k-1}e^{-x/m}}{m^k(k-1)!}
$$

- Hence, a significant difference with respect to the uniform and exponential distribution is that it cannot be generated by the inverse method (that requires obtaining **x** as a function of **f**).
- Hence it can be obtained by the general accept-reject method, assuming that it is truncated at some convenient **x** (for example, $\mathbf{x}_{\text{max}} = \mathbf{10}^* \mathbf{k}^* \mathbf{m}$) and max value (it depends on **k** and **m**, but for k > 1 and m > 0.2, f_{max} = 2 is a "safe" value).
- Of course, given the definition above it can be simulated as the sequence of **k** exponential distributions, each with a mean **m**.

$$
f(x; k, m) = \frac{x^{k-1}e^{-x/m}}{m^k(k-1)!}
$$

• Adopting the accept-reject method the distribution can be obtained by adapting the generic ar function (seen before) to the Erlang pdf, as follows

• In this case, we generate values of x, up to a maximum 10*k*m. In this range of values for x, the values of the pdf are all below 2 (as discussed)

16 November 2018

Random Variables; (Monte Carlo) Simulation 9

• Since the Erlang distribution corresponds to the the sum of *k independent and identically distributed random variables*, each having an *exponential distribution with mean m/k*, its generator can be also obtained alternatively as:

```
function x = e^{r \cdot \ln x} sp(k,m);
% generates events with an Erlang (k,m) distribution.
% it takes into account that this distribution
% corresponds to a sequence of k independent
% exponential distibutions with mean m.
   x = 0;
   for i = 1:kx = x + expo distr(m/k);
   end
end
```


• Given the above specifications we can now implement the queueing system, with 1 server and one buffer as follows:

```
function s = initial_s1q1_state()
  s.latest_system_time = 0;
  s.entry_server_time = inf;
  s.entry_buffer_time = inf; % new variable
end
```

```
function e = initial_s1q1_event(mean)
  e.next_arrival_time = expo_distr (mean);
  e.next_exit_time = inf;
end
```

```
function e = initial_s1q1_monitor()
  m.number_rejected_services = 0;
  m.number_accepted_services = 0;
  m.server_busy_time = 0;
  m.queue_wait_time = 0; % new variable
end
```


• The stopping condition emains the same (apart from the signature):

```
function e = stop slq1(s,max_t)s.latest_system_time > max_t;
end
```
• Finally, transition function should now encode 5 different types of events as described in the previous table

function [s,e,m] = transition_s1q1(s,e,m,mean,ke,me);

```
% arrival while server and buffer empty 
if e.next_exit_time == inf && s.entry_buffer_time == inf
      s.latest_system_time = e.next_arrival_time;
      s.entry_buffer_time = e.next_arrival_time;
      e.next_arrival_time = s.latest_system_time + expo_distr(mean);
      e.next_exit_time = s.latest_system_time + erlang_distr(ke,me);
     m.number_accepted_services = m.number_accepted_services + 1; 
....
```
end

function [s,e,m] = transition_s1q1(s,e,m,mean,ke,me);

```
....
% arrival when server busy and buffer empty
elseif e.next_arrival_time <= e.next_exit_time && ...
                                       s.entry_buffer_time == inf
      s.latest_system_time = e.next_arrival_time;
      s.entry_buffer_time = e.next_arrival_time;
     e.next_arrival_time = s.latest_system_time + expo_distr(mean);
     m.number_accepted_services = m.number_accepted_services + 1; 
....
```
end

function [s,e,m] = transition_s1q1(s,e,m,mean,ke,me);

```
....
% arrival when server busy and queue full
elseif e.next_arrival_time <= e.next_exit_time &&...
                                      s.entry_buffer_time < inf
      s.latest_system_time = e.next_arrival_time;
      e.next_arrival_time = s.latest_system_time + expo_distr(mean);
      m.number_rejected_services = m.number_rejected_services + 1; 
.... 
end
```


function [s,e,m] = transition_s1q1(s,e,m,mean,ke,me);

```
....
% departure when queue is empty
elseif e.next_exit_time <= e.next_arrival_time &&...
                                      s.entry_buffer_time == inf
      aux = e.next_exit_time - s.entry_server_time;
      s.latest_system_time = e.next_exit_time ;
      s.entry_server_time = inf;
     m.server_busy_time = m.server_busy_time + aux;
      e.next_exit_time = inf;
 .... 
end
```


16 November 2018

- Simulation of a queueing process is an example of a program with some degree of complexity, that poses difficulties in debugging.
- A general rule in a program structured by means of nested functions is to guarantee that no function is used before it is fully debugged.
- In. addition, auxiliary functions may be (temporarily) used to obtain generated in the process so as to be analysed and give clues to potential mistakes.

• The progress of the simulation may be monitored during the transitions, to check whether they are modelling correctly the system intended behaviour:

```
function monitor_s1q1_transitions(s,e,m)
   printf("time = %i, server = %i, buffer = %i\n", ...
                        s. latest system time, ...
                         s.entry server time, ...
                        s.entry_buffer_time)
   printf("arrival = <math>\{i, \text{exit} = \{i\} \mid n, \ldots\}</math>e.next_arrival_time, ...
                        e.next_exit_time)
   printf("accept = %i, reject = %i, busy = %i, wait = %i\n", ...
                        m.number_accepted_services, ... 
                        m.number_rejected_services, ... 
                        m.server_busy_time, ...
                        m.queue_wait_time)
end
```
• Note that the information should be presented in an "ergonomic" way, so as to be easily understood.

• The results from simulation may be shown in an "ergonomic form". , for example by means of function **show_s1q1_results**, shown below (first the data to show):

```
function show_s1q1_results(s,e,m);
   final_simul_time = s.latest_system_time;
   tot = m.number_accepted_services + m.number_rejected_services;
   total_nb_requests = tot;
  accepted_requests = m.number_accepted_services;
   fraction_accepted = 100 * accepted_requests / total_nb_requests;
   rejected_requests = m.number_rejected_services;
   fraction_rejected = 100 * rejected_requests / total_nb_requests;
  mean_service_time = m.server_busy_time / accepted_requests;
  mean_arrival_time = final_simul_time / total_nb_requests;
     total_busy_time = m.server_busy_time;
  fraction_busy_time = 100 * total_busy_time / final_simul_time;
 mean_waiting_time = m.queue_wait_time / accepted_requests;
  ...
end
```


The data is then shown in the terminal:

```
function show_s1q1_results(s,e,m);
   ...
  printf("\n")
  printf("\n---Results of Simulation:\n"); 
  print(f'' total nb requests = \frac{h}{h''}, total nb requests);
  print(f'' total simul time = \frac{2}{3}in'', final simul time);
  printf(" total nb accepted = %i (%4.1f of total)\n\ln",...
                                      accepted_requests,...
                                      fraction_accepted);
  print(' total_nb_rejected = %i (4.1f of total)\n\ln,...
                                      rejected_requests,...
                                      fraction rejected);
  printf(" server busy time = %i (%4.1f of total)\n\ln",...
                                      total_busy_time,...
                                      fraction_busy_time);
  printf(" mean_service_time = %4.2f\n", mean_service_time);
  printf(" mean_arrival_time = %4.2f\n", mean_arrival_time);
  printf(" mean_waiting_time = %4.2f\n", mean_waiting_time);
  printf("\n")
end
```